UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,347	12/31/2003	Michael L. Perry	C-3346	9472
7590 03/08/2007 Malcolm J. Chisholm, Jr. 220 Main Street			EXAMINER	
			ECHELMEYER, ALIX ELIZABETH	
P. O. Box 278 Lee, MA 01238	8		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1745	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/750,347	PERRY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer	1745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply bed d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frount tte. cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 December 2003</u> .							
7							
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
•	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.						
• — — • • • — — • •	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
C)							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
•							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	_						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-31-03.	5) Notice of Information Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/750,347

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-5 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims include limitations drawn to a "water coolant" or and "the coolant", which is in reference to the water coolant. This is indefinite because it could be interpreted to mean that there is a coolant to cool the water when, in fact, water is being used as a coolant. The examiner suggests that instances of "water coolant" and "coolant" should be changed to "water component."

Double Patenting

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,347 Page 3

Art Unit: 1745

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 10 of prior U.S. Patent No. 7,090,940. This is a double patenting rejection. Claim 10 of the patent includes limitations to a by-pass coolant passage in the fuel cell power plant. The instant claim is identical to claim 10 of the patent in limitations (a), (b) and (c) (found in claim 1 of the patent). Claim 10 of the patent teaches the use of a by-pass passage to direct the water component or water immiscible fluid to bypass the direct contact heat exchanger.

- 5. Claims 6-10 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 7,090,940 in view of claim 10 of the patent, and in further view of claims 4-8 of the patent. The limitations to the water immiscible fluid in claims 4-8 of the patent are identical to the limitations regarding the water immiscible fluid of claims 6-10 of the instant application; however, claim 1 of the patent from which claims 4-8 depend does not include the limitations of claim 1 of the instant invention. Claim 10 of the patent is identical to claim 1 of the instant application (see above). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the water immiscible fluid of claims 4-8 of the patent in the fuel cell system of claim 10 since the by-pass valve provides improvement to the system but does not affect the water immiscible fluid.
- 6. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 13 of U.S. Patent No. 7,090,940.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,347

Art Unit: 1745

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 13 of the patent teaches directing the coolant flow through the radiator and back through the heat exchanger, or bypassing the heat exchanger (selectively directing flow) at temperatures greater than thirty degrees Celsius. The limitations of the claims of the instant invention are found in the claims of the patent,

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer whose telephone number is 571-272-1101. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's trainer, Susy N. Tsang-Foster can be reached on 571-272-1293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,347 Page 5

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer Examiner Art Unit 1745

aee

SUSYTSANG-FOSTER PRIMARY EXAMINER